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## Background

The SCRCOG Travel Demand Model (TDM) is a traditional four-step model and includes transit model component. In 2002, the SCRCOG TDM was migrated to TransCAD software with a revised base year and future year 2025 model scenarios. This report documents the latest update to the TDM performed in June 2010 with a base year of 2010 and future year no-build model for 2040.

## Street Network

The model street network was updated with new streets and connectors to reflect the current road conditions with respect to travel speeds and lane capacities. Effectively, the base year was updated to 2010 from 2002. The future year scenario is 2040. Several zone connectors were modified to connect accurately to the neighboring streets. Since the future year scenario is no-build conditions, the street network is essentially same in both the model scenarios. Figure 1 shows the extent of the regional model with roadway network, transit route network and external stations.


Figure 1. SCRCOG June2010 Model Update - Roadway and Transit Network
Average Daily Traffic (ADT) count data was obtained from Connecticut Department of Transportation for the years 2007 and 2009 and the street network was updated with latest count data. AM and PM peak hour data was unavailable hence the peak hour traffic counts were not updated in the model.

## Transit Route System

The transit route system file was recreated to include all the bus and rail transit routes in the region. Route and schedule information from all the regional providers such as CT Transit, Milford Transit, Meriden Transit, Wallingford Transit, Metro North, Amtrak and Shore Line East was collected and the route system was updated in TransCAD. Walk-access and Drive-access links are used in the network to load transit trips from each TAZ. The percentage of population and employment that is within a quarter mile from a transit stop was updated for each TAZ and stored in the zonal database file. Table 1 shows all the routes included in the transit route system. Each route was coded with respective transit stops, peak and offpeak headways and fares.

| Amtrak | Meriden RtA Kohls Plaza |
| :--- | :--- |
| B Congress Avenue | Meriden RtA Westfield |
| B Whalley Avenue | Meriden RtB SMeriden |
| C North Haven | Meriden RtB YaleAcres |
| CX1 Church_Chapel from Kohls | Meriden RtC EMain St |
| Comm Conn Downtown AM | Meriden RtC WMain St |
| Comm Conn Downtown PM | Milford Rt2 |
| Comm Conn Seargent AM | Milford Rt3 |
| Comm Conn Seargent PM | Milford Rt4 |
| D Dixwell | O Route1 |
| D Grand Ave | O Winchester Ave |
| Electric Trolley No Fare | Q1 Lombard St Loop |
| F East Haven | Q2 Beverly Hills |
| F West Chapel | Q3 Walmart |
| G1_G3 | Q4 Amity Shopping Center |
| G2 | S Local |
| J Kimberly | Shore Line East |
| J Whitney | Union Station Garage |
| L N Branford AM | Wallingford Local |
| L North Branford | Z1 West Hills |
| M 3/4 Washington Ave - State St | Z3 City Point and Z2 Long Wharf |
| MetroNorth |  |

Table 1. Transit Routes in the Model Network
Since the future year scenario is no-build conditions, the transit route system is essentially the same in both model scenarios.

## TAZ

There are 485 Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZ) in the model. External stations were regrouped after reviewing the external data from the previous model version and as a result five new external stations were added. Altogether the model now has 505 TAZs. Table 2 shows the socio-economic data input to the two model scenarios.

| Regional Model Total | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households | 216396 | 237072 |
| Population | 555456 | 608374 |
| Retail Employment | 33117 | 40953 |
| Non-Retail Employment | 255968 | 314928 |
| Total Employment | 289091 | 355882 |

Table 2. SCRCOG June2010 Model Update - Revised Socio-economic Data Summary

Population and employment forecasts were collected from several existing sources in order to update the socioeconomic data to 2010 and 2040. For forecasting population projections, the Connecticut State Data Center population projections were used and extrapolated to 2040. The employment statistics from American Community were used to develop 2010 employment numbers by city. The Connecticut Department of Labor regional employment growth forecast percentage of $0.77 \%$ from 2006 to 2016 was assumed to continue until 2040 and the growth rates were adjusted based on municipality type. Table 3 shows the result of population and employment forecasts that were developed as part of the June2010 model update.

| City | Group | Population Growth Rate 2000 to 2010 | 2010 <br> Model <br> Population | Population Annual Growth Rate 2000 to 2040 | 2040 <br> Model <br> Population | Employment Growth Rate 2000 to 2010 | 2010 Model Employment | Employment Annual Growth Rate 2000 to 2040 | 2040 Model Employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bethany | Suburban | 9.18\% | 5,503 | 0.74\% | 6,757 | 4.47\% | 1,833 | 0.30\% | 1,978 |
| Branford | Urban Periphery | 1.47\% | 29,101 | 0.15\% | 30,428 | -1.88\% | 13,433 | 0.77\% | 18,584 |
| East Haven | Urban Periphery | 0.81\% | 28,425 | -0.04\% | 27,799 | 8.37\% | 7,348 | 0.77\% | 9,204 |
| Guilford | Suburban | 6.52\% | 22,789 | 0.55\% | 26,622 | 7.76\% | 8,825 | 0.30\% | 9,232 |
| Hamden | Urban Periphery | 1.50\% | 57,765 | 0.03\% | 57,707 | 8.96\% | 22,488 | 0.77\% | 28,020 |
| Madison | Suburban | 10.07\% | 19,658 | 0.82\% | 24,754 | 4.47\% | 5,615 | 0.30\% | 6,059 |
| Meriden | Urban Periphery | 4.76\% | 61,017 | 0.49\% | 70,918 | 4.39\% | 25,190 | 0.77\% | 32,757 |
| Milford | Urban Periphery | 2.88\% | 53,800 | 0.31\% | 59,239 | -0.37\% | 29,939 | 0.77\% | 40,793 |
| New Haven | Urban Core | -3.50\% | 119,310 | 0.10\% | 128,666 | 14.86\% | 87,260 | 0.93\% | 110,017 |
| North Branford | Suburban | 4.97\% | 14,602 | 0.49\% | 16,896 | 4.47\% | 4,289 | 0.30\% | 4,628 |
| North Haven | Suburban | 1.53\% | 23,397 | 0.21\% | 25,080 | 11.66\% | 24,546 | 0.30\% | 24,780 |
| Orange | Suburban | 2.79\% | 13,594 | 0.35\% | 15,211 | 4.47\% | 8,890 | 0.30\% | 9,593 |
| Wallingford | Suburban | 4.63\% | 45,019 | 0.44\% | 51,290 | 12.70\% | 28,666 | 0.50\% | 31,051 |
| West Haven | Urban Core | -0.23\% | 52,237 | 0.21\% | 56,961 | -2.31\% | 16,801 | 0.93\% | 24,907 |
| Woodbridge | Suburban | 2.87\% | 9,238 | 0.28\% | 10,054 | 4.47\% | 3,970 | 0.30\% | 4,284 |
| TOTAL | Region |  | 555,455 |  | 608,382 |  | 289,093 |  | 355,887 |

Table 3. Population and Employment Forecasts by City
External station data in the model was input as traffic counts at the respective stations. The external station volume table was updated to reflect current traffic volumes, revised locations of the external stations and transit shares. A nominal growth rate of $1 \%$ per year was used to forecast future year 2040 external station volumes. The externalexternal trips matrix was also updated accordingly.

## Model Parameters

The trip balancing procedure in the model was modified to correct the internal-external trip balancing. The number of iterations for each trip type for the gravity trip distribution procedure was increased from 10 to 30 iterations. The transit share for each external station was revised based on updated traffic counts. The model scenarios were run using the toolbox with five feedback iterations.

## Validation

Overall, results from the auto/highway assignment indicate that the model is well calibrated across the region. Figure 2 shows the count vs. flow chart and the R-square value of 0.90 indicates a good fit. Count data was unavailable to update the 2002 model screenline data. The RMSE value for the overall model is $34 \%$ which is well within the reasonable range of less than $40 \%$.

The trip length distribution analysis indicates an average trip length of 15 minutes that matches the regional travel time statistics from 2000 census data.

The future year assignment results were verified for reasonableness and for sensitivity to population and employment growth. Figures 3 and 4 show the base year and future year model flows with volume to capacity ratio identifiers.


Figure 2. Count vs. Flow Comparison


Figure 3. Base Year Flows


Figure 4. Future Year 2040 NoBuild

